DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

| ROBERT REEDOM, |) | |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | Civil Action No. 2013-0095 |
| SABRA A. CRAPPELL and |) | |
| STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE |) | |
| INSURANCE COMPANY, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| |) | |

Appearances: Robert Reedom, *Pro Se* New Iberia, LA

Andrew C. Simpson, Esq., St. Croix, U.S.V.I. For Defendant

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Lewis, Chief Judge

THIS MATTER comes before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge George W. Cannon, Jr. (Dkt. No. 16). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), this matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Cannon for submission of a report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Cannon filed his R&R on March 17, 2016. In that filing, the Magistrate Judge recommended that the Court dismiss the Complaint in this matter on the grounds that it is "frivolous and/or malicious" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), and also that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the Complaint, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332.

This Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's

findings to which objection is made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the Court is

not required to review the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge, under a de novo or

any other standard, as to those portions of the findings or recommendations to which no objections

are made. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Henderson v. Keisling, 386 F. App'x 164,

166 (3d Cir. 2010); Goney v. Clark, 749 F.2d 5, 6 (3d Cir. 1984).

Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Cannon's R&R were due within fourteen days after

Plaintiff Patrick Reedom was served with a copy, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R.

Civ. P. 72(b). The docket reflects that a copy of the R&R was mailed to Reedom at the address on

file on March 17, 2016. (Dkt. No. 17). To date, no objections have been filed.

Upon independent review of the record in this case, it is the opinion of this Court that the

Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 16) should be adopted.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Cannon's R&R is **ADOPTED** for the reasons more

fully stated in the Magistrate Judge's R&R; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**; and it is

further

ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall mark this case **CLOSED**; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall provide a copy of this Order to Plaintiff Reedom

by certified mail, return receipt requested.

SO ORDERED.

Date: September 23, 2016

WILMA A. LEWIS Chief Judge